IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 1024 OF 2005

Rahul @ Sharukh Mahadev Bhagat & Anr. .. Applicants.

V/s.

The State of Maharashtra .. Respondent.

Shri A.J. Almeida for the Applicants.
Shri A. S. Gadkari, APP for Respondent -State.

CORAM : A.M.KHANWILKAR, J.
DATED : FEBRUARY 21, 2005

PC :-

. Heard the learned counsel for the parties. Perused the record.

2. From the prosecution case, it appears that the incident in question took place on account of the suggestion given by the complainant to the applicant No.1 herein that he should not marry the daughter of the co-accused. May be the applicants over- reacted to that situation. Besides, as the investigation is complete and the chargesheet has been filed and as there are no antecedents against the present applicants, the applicants deserve to be granted bail on strict conditions as follows :-

(a) The Applicants are enlarged on bail in connection with C.R.No. I-264/2004, registered with Nalasopara Police Station on executing personal bond in the sum of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. Ten thousand only) each with one or two local sureties in the like amount.

(b) The applicants shall report to the concerned police station once in a month, on first Saturday of every English calendar month till the conclusion of the trial.

(c) The Applicants shall extend full co-operation to the investigating officer as and when called upon as well as for early disposal of the trial. If the trial court is of the opinion that the applicants are responsible for delay in trial that fact be recorded in the Rozanama, which can be a ground for cancellation of bail.

(d) The applicants shall not influence the prosecution witness or tamper with the prosecution evidence in any manner whatsoever.

3. Ordered accordingly.

. . . . .